

European Radon Week 2020

JRC Workshop

Methodology behind the first Pan-European Indoor Radon Map

Javier Elío

(Twitter: <u>@Elio_Javi</u>; email: javierdem@plan.aau.dk; website: https://javierelio.netlify.com/)



Vienna, Austria 27-28 February 2020

Article published in NHESS (Open Access)

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-2451-2019



samples. In this study we have used the arithmetic mean (AM) over grid cells of 10 km × 10 km to predict a mean indoor radon concentration at ground-floor level of buildings in the grid cells where no or few data (N<30) are available. Four interpolation techniques have been tested: inverse distance weighting (IDW), ordinary kriging (OK), collocated cokriging with uranium concentration as a secondary variable (CCK), and regression kriging with topsoil geochemistry and bedrock geology as secondary variables (RK). Cross-validation exercises have been carried out to assess the uncertainties associated with each method. Of the four methods tested, RK has proven to be the best one for predicting mean indeer radoe concentrations and by combining the DK predictions with the AM of the grid with 20 are mean secondary bar.



Similar articles

Acknowledgements

Giorgia Cinelli; European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy **Tore Tollefsen**; European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy **Marc De Cort**; European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy **Peter Bossew**; German Federal Office for Radiation Protection, Germany José Luis Gutiérrez Villanueva; Radonova laboratories AB, Uppsala, Sweden Alessio Nogarotto; Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy Roberto Braga; Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy **Valeria Gruber**; Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES), Linz, Austria **Vladimir Udovicic**; Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Serbia Marta Garcia-Talavera; Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear, Madrid, Spain **Giorgia lurlaro**; Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Italy

And all the people who have worked (and still work) on the map!!!

At the time of writing the article (end of 2018), 32 countries (EU and non-EU member states alike) contributed data, and information from almost 1.2 million dwellings were aggregated into 28 468 grid cells.

Motivation

Input data			<u>Model 1</u>		<u>Output 1</u>		<u>Model 2</u>		Output 2
Indoor	r radon Geogenic factors		Cross-validation four		Pan-European Indoor Badon Man: annual		a) Floor correction model: indoor radon		Pan-European Radon Dose Map: annual
AM [B	lq m⁻³]	Geology (1:5M)	techniques: IDW (AM)		average indoor radon concentrations over		map (all floors)		effective dose that a person may receive from
N ≥ 30		U (Topsoil)	OK (AM) CoCK (AM + U) RK (AM + G + U + K ₂ O)		grids of 10 km x 10 km (i.e. arithmetic mean,		b) Radon dose: occupancy,		radon indoor exposure with a resolution of 10
		K ₂ O (Topsoil)			ground floor)	equilibrium factor, dose conversion		km x 10 km	
					1				





AM [Bq m⁻³]





Dose [mSv y⁻¹]



Radon and lung cancer



- . Risk due to the inhalation of existing radon (²²²Rn) progeny attached to aerosols; i.e. suspended particles.
- 2. Radon progeny may deposit in the respiratory tract.

²¹⁰Po

(138d)

²⁰⁶Pb

(stable)

α

- 3. Radon progenies are also radioactive and emit alpha and beta particles.
- 1. This radiation can interact with lung tissue leading to DNA damage and development of lung cancer.
- 5. Worldwide radon exposure is linked to 222,000 of the 1.8 million annual lung cancer cases.





Radon in dwellings



²¹⁰Po

(138d)

²⁰⁶Pb

(stable)

α

- **Radon is a natural radioactive gas** which forms as a decay product in the radioactive decay series of uranium.
- Radon is present in all soils at low levels, when radon reach the atmosphere it is diluted easily and outdoor concentration is normally low.
- Radon may accumulate in indoor air and reach high concentrations.
- Geology is the main factor controlling indoor radon concentration; however, it may be affected by multiple factors
- We <u>can not predict</u> the indoor radon concentration in a particular house!!





Legal background

Basic Safety Standards (BSS)

Council Directive 2013/59/Euratom laying down basic safety standards for protection against the dangers arising from exposure to ionizing radiation.

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L:2014:013:TOC (OJ L, 17.01.2014)

Art. 103,3; Radon Priority Areas (RPA):

"Member States shall identify areas where the radon concentration (as an annual average) in a significant number of buildings is expected to exceed the relevant national reference level."

Conceptual definition, which has to be translated into an *operable* definition.

Art. 54, 74, annex XVIII; Radon Action Plan:

In areas according Art.103,3: Buildings with public access and workplaces must be measured and if above RL, remediated. New buildings: particular Rn prevention. Strategy to reduce Rn in dwellings.



Reference level (RL): must be \leq **300 Bq/m³** (BSS Art 54,1 & 74,1)

These areas are called Radon Priority Areas (RPA) to indicate priority in taking action (It does not mean "no action" in No-RPA).

Radon mapping

Detect Radon Priority Areas:

- There is <u>not a "natural" definition</u>, and different criteria may be applied. For example:
 - a. AM in the area > Threshold;
 - b. Prob[InRn > Reference Level] > Threshold;
 - c. AM of the area represents the upper X% (e.g. 90th Percentile);
 - d. Collective exposure (AM x Population) is among the upper X%.
- Political decision (and data availability)

Accurate mapping is important:

- To increase public awareness of radioactive environment.
- To target homeowners so remediation work can be carried out.
- As it may affect building regulations; e.g. all new homes in "Radon Priority Areas" must be build with a radon barrier.
- Two types of maps depending on the datasets used:
 - Indoor Radon Maps: based on indoor radon measurements.
 - Geogenic Radon Maps: based on geological information.



European Atlas of Natural Radiation

- > The Atlas **started in 2006** with indoor radon measurements.
- > The **objective of the Atlas** are:
 - Increase public knowledge of natural ionizing radiation;
 - Analyse the level of natural radioactivity caused by different sources;
 - Produce a better estimate of the annual dose to which the general population is exposed;
 - Compare natural and artificial sources.
- For more than ten years, the JRC has been developing the European Atlas of Natural Radiation. It includes maps of the natural radioactive levels of:
 - Annual cosmic-ray dose;
 - Indoor radon concentration;
 - Uranium, thorium and potassium concentration in soil and in bedrock;
 - Terrestrial gamma dose rate;
 - Soil permeability.
- Digital versions of these maps are available on the JRC website (<u>https://remon.jrc.ec.europa.eu/About/Atlas-of-Natural-Radiation</u>)

EU Indoor Radon Map

- The European Indoor Rn Map (EIRM) currently shown in the European Atlas of Natural Radiation (EANR) is regionally incomplete. Data generation is slow: coordination of national, regional, and local authorities (difficult).
- Only ground floor measurements, although most people live in higher floors. When started in 2006, it was concluded (Prague conference) that if at all, only for ground floor rooms ± representative data would be available. It seems that this argument is still valid.
- **Exposure** requires input of **demographic and sociological** knowledge:
 - Time spent indoors (home and workplace)
 - How much time spent at either?
 - They are usually located in some distance
- Physics inputs:
 - Equilibrium factors, unattached fraction? Reasonable to use default values?
 - Rn characteristic of workplaces! Data only for homes; workplaces probably different.

Input data



Geology

Uranium concentration in topsoil Potassium concentration in topsoil

Indoor radon

Data: annual average indoor radon concentration measured on ground floor of residential dwellings

Participating countries provide summary statistics estimated over 10 km x 10 km grid cells without communicating the original data to guarantee confidentiality (Original data remain with suppliers!)

In each cell, statistics are calculated:

- Number of measurements
- > Arithmetic mean (AM)
- Standard deviation (SD)
- \succ AM(In data) \rightarrow GM = exp(AM In)
- > SD(In data) \rightarrow GSD = exp(SD In)
- Median
- Minimum
- Maximum

JRC: plausibility checks, statistics over cells, map

European Indoor Radon Map, September 2018



Source:

https://remon.jrc.ec.europa.eu/About/Atlas-of-Natural-Radiation

Geology

Geological map: 1:5 Million International Geological Map of Europe – IGME 5000 (Asch, 2003)

Simplification: The original IGME map presents 178 lithostratigraphic units that were reduced to 28 lithological units based on ANOVA tests ran on an extensive Italian geological database (Nogarotto et al. 2018).

Grid cells of 10x10 km: The prevalence unit in each grid of 10 km x 10 km is assigned to be compare with the indoor radon concentration (AM)





Uranium

- The European uranium concentration in soil map displays the estimated concentration of uranium in soil over 10 km x 10 km grid cells.
- The map has been created using approximately 5,000 data from topsoil samples belonging to two European databases:
 - a) The Geochemical Atlas of Europe developed by the Forum of European Geological Surveys - FOREGS (<u>http://weppi.gtk.fi/publ/foregsatlas/index.php</u>);
 - b) The Geochemical Mapping of Agricultural and Grazing Land soil in Europe – GEMAS (<u>http://gemas.geolba.ac.at/</u>).
- > The data were interpolated using ordinary kriging.
- For Belgium, the Czech Republic and Estonia, data from their national databases have been used.





Source:

https://remon.jrc.ec.europa.eu/About/Atlas-of-Natural-Radiation

Potassium

- The European uranium concentration in soil map displays the estimated concentration of uranium in soil over 10 km x 10 km grid cells.
- The map has been created using approximately 5,000 data from topsoil samples belonging to two European databases:
 - a) The Geochemical Atlas of Europe developed by the Forum of European Geological Surveys - FOREGS (<u>http://weppi.gtk.fi/publ/foregsatlas/index.php</u>);
 - b) The Geochemical Mapping of Agricultural and Grazing Land soil in Europe – GEMAS (<u>http://gemas.geolba.ac.at/</u>).
- > The data were interpolated using ordinary kriging.
- For Belgium, the Czech Republic and Estonia, data from their national databases have been used.

European map of potassium in soil, January 2019



Source:

https://remon.jrc.ec.europa.eu/About/Atlas-of-Natural-Radiation

Indoor radon vs. Geogenic factors

The total indoor radon variance explained by U, K₂O, and Geology is about 20%

	df	Sum sq.	Mean sq.	F value	Pr (>F)
Log(U)	1	1457.8	1457.77	2461.303	<2.2 x 10 ⁻⁶
Log(K ₂ O)	1	1483.6	1483.58	2504.891	<2.2 x 10 ⁻⁶
Sim. Geology	27	868.1	32.15	54.228	<2.2 x 10 ⁻⁶

- Uranium (7,75%) is a source of radon in soil, and thus a positive association with indoor radon is expected. The Pearson's correlation coefficient between indoor radon and uranium concentration in topsoil is however relatively low (r = 0.2783), which implies that estimations with U as secondary variable are still mainly based on the primary variable (i.e. AM).
- Geology (4.61%) is associated with both uranium and radon sources, and with physical properties which permit the release of radon from the soil matrix and its transport in the environment (e.g. mineralogy, porosity, permeability).
- Potassium (7.88%). The positive correlation between indoor radon and potassium is, however, not evident. K₂O may be related to clay content in soils, and although the permeability of wet clays is low, it may increase when soils are dried as a consequence of building a house.

Model 1: Indoor radon predictions





Inverse Distance Weighted



Predictions:





Optimal p: value which minimizes RMSE (p = 1.5)



Ordinary Kriging



Trans-Gaussian kriging using Box-Cox transforms:

Predictions are carried out over the transformed data, and then unbiased back-transformed to the original scale using the Lagrange multiplier (function krigeTg in R software, "gstat" and "MASS").

$$\phi_{\lambda}^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{X^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda} & \phi(x) = \begin{cases} (x \cdot \lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} & \phi''(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \lambda)(x \cdot \lambda + 1)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 2} & \lambda \neq 0 \\ e^{x} & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{Z}(S_o) = \phi\left(\widehat{Y}_{OK}(S_0)\right) + \phi''(\widehat{\mu})\left(\frac{\sigma_{OK}^2(S_0)}{2} - \mathbf{m}\right)$$



Collocated CoKriging



Predictions:

Special case of cokriging where only the direct correlation between the primary (e.g. AM_z) and the secondary variables (e.g. U) is used, ignoring the direct variogram of the secondary variable and the cross variograms. It simplified the cokriging equations although the secondary variable must be sampled at all prediction points

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = e^{(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})} \qquad \operatorname{var}[X] = e^{(2\mu + \sigma^2)} \cdot (e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$$



Regression Kriging



Predictions (two-steps):

- Regression estimation of the dependent variable (e.g. AM_z) based on secondary variables (e.g. geogenic factors: Geology, U, K₂O).
- 2. Spatial distribution of the residual (Ordinary Kriging)

Final estimates are the sums of the regression estimates and the ordinary kriging estimates.

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = e^{(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})} \qquad \operatorname{var}[X] = e^{(2\mu + \sigma^2)} \cdot (e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$$



Predictions



Limitations

- > Input data are actually grid cells means treated as point samples.
- Reliability of data because of different numbers of measurements is a problem.
- Small differences may be appreciated in the predictions of the different interpolation techniques
 - IDW and OK are weak in areas with no conditioning data.
 - CoK and RK are more reasonable in the physical sense but they are analytically more complicated
- > Back-transforming predictions to the original scale.
- Variogram parameters must be estimated very carefully, ill assessment of the kriging SD leads to large errors in E[X] and Var[X] due to exponentiation.
- > Deviations from stationarity.
- The map appears "noisy" to varying degrees between different regions.

5 x 10-Fold Cross-validation

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Z_i - X_i|$$

$$IA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - X_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|X_i - \overline{X}| - |Z_i - \overline{X}|)^2}$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - X_i)^2}$$

$$PB = 100 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - X_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}$$

$$RMSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2$$

$$RMSLE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2$$

$$IA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - X_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(Z_i + 1) - \log(X_i + 1))^2}$$

$$RESE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}$$



MAE and **RMSE** may be influenced by outliers. **RMSLE** is less sensitive to outliers and preferable when there is a large range in the values. These parameters are positive values and the closer they are to 0, the better is the model fit. **IA** is a standardized measure of the degree of model prediction error; it varies from 0 (no agreement at all) to 1 (perfect match). **PB** (%) measures the average tendency of having larger/smaller predicted values than the observed ones. The optimal value is 0, and positive/negative values indicate over/under-estimation bias. **R²** is a measure of how well the model fits a data set; a perfect model has $R^2 = 1$.

Output: Pan-European Indoor Rn Map

Objective: produce an All-European Indoor Radon Map by minimising data processing, and therefore we prefer to estimate the radon average directly by indoor radon measurements carried out at each grid (i.e. AM_z).

Mean value and the confidence interval:



$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} x_i \pm t_{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right)} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

- The **confidence interval** decreases when the sample size increases. In our cases, the $\underline{CI}_{95\%}$ ($\alpha = 0.05$) for sample size of about 30-40 data is around $\pm 5\%$, and generally lower than 15 - 30%.
- 30 measurements seems reasonable for obtaining a good estimation of the radon exposure in a specific grid although the assumption of data independence is not valid (i.e. there is spatial correlation between indoor radon measurements which can be modelled by the variogram).
- For the final All-European Indoor radon map we use therefore the <u>AM of the grids with</u> <u>30 or more measurements, and the value predicted by RK</u> in the grids with less than 30 measurements.

Output: Pan-European Indoor Rn Map



Model 2: Pan-European Radon Dose Map



Dose conversion

Floor correction





- It is not representative of the radon exposure to European citizens since most people do not leave on ground floor.
- It may overestimate the radon exposure since for most residential buildings Rn decreases with floor level



- Maybe regional trend? (due to regionally different building styles? climate?) to be further investigated
- At a location (grid cell), which is the fraction of rooms in basement, ground, 1., 2.,... floor? Link with population density? – to be further investigated



Dose conversion

Annual dose:
$$D[mSv y^{-1}] = C_{Rn} \cdot F_E \cdot T \cdot F_O \cdot F_D$$

Challenges (to be further investigated):

- **1.** Annual indoor radon concentration (C_{Rn}):
 - a) Predictions over grid cells of 10 x 10 km (this presentation). Can we improve predictions? New statistical models (e.g. ML)? Other secondary variables (e.g. soil permeability)?.
 - b) Floor correction model.
- Equilibrium factor (F_E): convert C_{Rn} to the Equivalent Equilibrium Concentration (EEC) of radon daughters. Take default values (e.g. 0.4 UNSCEAR)? Regional trends? Dependence of usage?
- **3.** Time expend indoors? (Occupancy factor F_o). UNSCEAR recommend a value of 0.8. Can we use it for all Europe? Differences between rural vs. urban areas?
- 4. Time spend at home vs. workplaces? Rn characteristic of workplaces in general different from dwellings. Can we model this?
- 5. Commuting patters. Workplace in most cases not at same location as home. Sometimes quite far away... people commute 100 km. How to model such effect?
- Dose conversion factor (F_D): dose coefficient applied to the EEC. International recommendation 9·10⁻⁶ mSv per Bq m⁻³ h (under discussion).

Output 2: Pan-European Rn Dose Map

Annual dose:
$$D[mSv y^{-1}] = C_{Rn} \cdot F_E \cdot T \cdot F_O \cdot F_D$$

FIRST TRY:

- Indoor radon concentration at ground floor level and standard dose conversion factors.
- Uncertainty analysis by Monte Carlo simulation: (Elío et al, Environnent International 114: 69–76, 2018)

Nsim = 100

 $C_{Rn} \sim N(AM_z, SD_z)$ [truncated InRn > 0] $F_D \sim N(9.10^{-6}; 1.5.10^{-6})$ $F_O \sim N(0.8, 0.03)$ $F_E \sim LN(0.4, 1.15)$

Map the AM and SD of the simulated values

Note: It is a sensitive issue. Whether and how it can be published must be decided by the JRC



European Radon Week 2020

JRC Workshop

Methodology behind the first Pan-European Indoor Radon Map

Javier Elío

(Twitter: <u>@Elio_Javi</u>; email: javierdem@plan.aau.dk; website: https://javierelio.netlify.com/)



Vienna, Austria 27-28 February 2020